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#### Abstract

The existence of solutions to the Boussinesq system driven by random exterior forcing terms both in the velocity field and the temperature is proven using a semigroup approach. We also obtain the existence and uniqueness of an invariant measure via coupling methods.


1. Introduction. We study the existence and ergodicity of the stochastic Boussinesq equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& d u=(\nu \Delta u-(u \cdot \nabla) u+\sigma \theta-\nabla p) d t+\sqrt{Q_{1}} d W_{1}(t), \\
& d \theta=(\chi \Delta \theta-(u \cdot \nabla) \theta) d t+\sqrt{Q_{2}} d W_{2}(t), \\
& \nabla \cdot u=0 \quad \text { in }(0,+\infty) \times \mathcal{O},  \tag{1.1}\\
& u=0, \quad \theta=0 \quad \text { on }(0,+\infty) \times \partial \mathcal{O}, \\
& u(0, x)=u_{0}(x), \quad \theta(0, x)=\theta_{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \mathcal{O},
\end{align*}
$$

which models the interactions between an incompressible fluid flow coupled with thermal dynamics in two dimensions, in the presence of random perturbations. Here $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a bounded, open and simply connected domain with smooth boundary $\partial \mathcal{O}$, and $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ denotes the fluid velocity field, $\theta$ is the temperature of the fluid, $p$ stands for the pressure, $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity and $\chi$ is the thermal diffusivity, $\sigma$ is a constant two component-vector. Also $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ represent two independent cylindrical Wiener processes $[10,12]$ defined, respectively, on a filtered space $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \mathbb{P}\right)$ taking values in

$$
H=\left\{v \in\left(L^{2}(\mathcal{O})\right)^{2}: \nabla \cdot v=0 \text { in } \mathcal{O}, \quad v \cdot n=0 \text { on } \partial \mathcal{O}\right\}, \quad H_{1}=L^{2}(\mathcal{O})
$$

Finally, $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are linear continuous, positive and symmetric operators on $H$ and $H_{1}$, respectively, of trace class (see Definition 5.1 in the Appendix 5), i.e., $\operatorname{Tr} Q_{i}<\infty, i=1,2$, satisfying the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=A^{-\gamma}, \quad Q_{2}=A_{1}^{-\gamma} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 / 2<\gamma<1, A$ and $A_{1}$ are as defined in (2.1).
Herein we prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution $\left(u\left(t, u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right), \theta\left(t, u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)\right)$ of the stochastic Boussinesq system (1.1), and of the corresponding invariant measure in the space $H \times H_{1}$. The deterministic version of the Boussinesq system (1.1) was comprehensively studied in the literature (see, e.g. [1, 9, 13] and the references therein). In the case of two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, the existence and uniqueness of a solution, the uniqueness of the invariant measure and properties of the corresponding Kolmogorov operators were studied in $[3,5,4,8,7]$. For the two-dimensional magnetohydrodynamics system, see [2].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we formulate problem (1.1) in an appropriate functional setting (see $[13,6,12,10]$ ) and in Section 3 we give the main existence and uniqueness result for (1.1) which is proved via an approximating regularizing scheme. In Section 4 we prove the existence of an invariant measure $\mu$ corresponding to the stochastic flow $t \mapsto(u(t), \theta(t))$, and its uniqueness via coupling methods,

[^0]following [11, 2]. In particular, the uniqueness of the invariant measure implies that the flow is ergodic, i.e.,
$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \phi(u(t), \theta(t)) d t=\int_{H \times H} \phi d \mu \quad \forall \phi \in L^{2}(H \times H ; \mu)
$$
which agrees with some physical hypothesis on the Boussinesq flow.
2. Functional setting and formulation of the problem. We introduce the functional spaces to represent the coupled Navier-Stokes and heat equations (1.1) as infinite dimensional differential equation
$$
V=\left\{v \in\left(H_{0}^{1}(\mathcal{O})\right)^{2}: \nabla \cdot v=0 \text { in } \mathcal{O}\right\}, \quad V_{1}=H_{0}^{1}(\mathcal{O})
$$

The norms of $V$ and $V_{1}$ are denoted by the same symbol $\|\cdot\|$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v\|^{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\mathcal{O}}\left|\nabla v_{i}\right|^{2} d x, \quad v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in V \\
\|\eta\|^{2} & =\int_{\mathcal{O}}|\nabla \eta|^{2} d x, \quad \eta \in V_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let denote by $V^{\prime}$ and $V_{1}^{\prime}=H^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ the duals of $V$ and $V_{1}$ respectively, endowed with the dual norms. Denote again $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the scalar product on $H$ and the pairing between $V$ and $V^{\prime}, V_{1}$ and $V_{1}^{\prime}$. The norm on $H$ and $L^{2}(\Omega)$ will both be denoted by $|\cdot|$. Identifying $H$ with its own dual we have $V \subset H \subset V^{\prime}$. Let $A \in L\left(V, V^{\prime}\right), A_{1} \in L\left(V_{1}, V_{1}^{\prime}\right), b: V \times V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& (A v, w)=\int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla v \cdot \nabla w d x, \quad v, w \in V \\
& \left(A_{1} \alpha, \beta\right)=\int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla \alpha \cdot \nabla \beta d x, \quad \alpha, \beta \in V_{1}  \tag{2.1}\\
& b(u, v, w)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \int_{\mathcal{O}} u_{i} D_{i} v_{j} w_{j} d x, \quad u, v, w \in V
\end{align*}
$$

and $B: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ given by

$$
(B v, w)=b(v, v, w), \quad v, w \in V
$$

Then system (1.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& d u+(\nu A u+B(u)-\sigma \theta) d t=\sqrt{Q_{1}} d W_{1}(t) \\
& d \theta+\left(\chi A_{1} \theta+(u \cdot \nabla) \theta\right) d t=\sqrt{Q_{2}} d W_{2}(t)  \tag{2.2}\\
& u(0)=u_{0}, \quad \theta(0)=\theta_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

The cylindrical Wiener process $W=\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$ on $H \times H$ is defined [10] by

$$
W_{i}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{j}^{i}(t) e_{j}^{i}, \quad i=1,2
$$

where $\left\{e_{j}^{1}\right\},\left\{e_{j}^{i}\right\}$ are two complete orthonormal bases of eigenfunctions of $A$, respectively $A_{1}$, and $\left\{\beta_{j}^{i}\right\}, i=$ 1,2 are two independent sequences of mutually independent Brownian motions on the filtered space
$\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \mathbb{P}\right)$. We denote by $C_{W}\left(0, T ; H \times H_{1}\right)$ the space of all continuous functions $Z:[0, T] \in L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \mathbb{P} ; H \times\right.$ $\left.H_{1}\right)$ which are adapted to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_{t}$. The spaces $L_{W}^{2}(0, T ; V \times V)$ and $L_{W}^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime} \times V_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ are similarly defined.

Consider the stochastic convolution that is the mild solution of the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& d W_{\mathcal{A}}(t)+\mathcal{A} W_{\mathcal{A}}(t) d t=\sqrt{Q} d W(t)  \tag{2.3}\\
& W_{\mathcal{A}}(0)=0
\end{align*}
$$

given by

$$
W_{\mathcal{A}}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}(t-s)} \sqrt{Q} d W(s):=\left(W_{A}^{1}(t), W_{A}^{2}(t)\right)
$$

where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\nu A & 0 \\
0 & \chi A_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad Q=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q_{1} & 0 \\
0 & Q_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Under our assumptions it follows that [4]

$$
W_{\mathcal{A}} \in C_{W}(0, T ; H \times H) \cap\left(L_{W}^{4}([0, T] \times \mathcal{O})\right)^{2}
$$

and by Theorem 2.13 of [4] we have that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{(t, x) \in[0, T] \times \mathcal{O}}\left|W_{A}^{i}\right|^{4}\right)<+\infty
$$

3. Existence and uniqueness result. Our main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 3.1. For all $\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right) \in H \times H_{1}$ and $T>0$ problem (2.2) has a unique solution $(u, \theta) \in$ $L_{W}^{2}\left(0, T ; V \times V_{1}\right)$.

To prove Theorem 3.1 we reduce (2.2) to a deterministic equation with random coefficients, via the substitution

$$
u(t)=v(t)+W_{A}^{1}(t), \quad \theta(t)=\eta(t)+W_{A}^{2}(t)
$$

Then (2.2) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& v^{\prime}+\nu A v+B(v)+v \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{1}+W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla v-\sigma \theta-\sigma W_{A}^{2}=-B\left(W_{A}^{1}\right) \\
& \eta^{\prime}+\chi A_{1} \eta+v \cdot \nabla \eta+v \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{2}+W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla \eta=-W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
& v(0)=u_{0}, \quad \eta(0)=\theta_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

We recall the following standard estimates, which will be used in the sequel:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |(B(v), w)| \leq C|v|\|v\|\|w\| \quad \Rightarrow \quad\|B(v)\|_{V^{\prime}} \leq C|v|\|v\| \\
& |(v \cdot \nabla \eta, \alpha)| \leq C|v|^{1 / 2}\|v\|^{1 / 2}|\eta|^{1 / 2}\|\eta\|^{1 / 2}\|\alpha\| \quad \Rightarrow \quad\|v \cdot \nabla \eta\|_{V_{1}^{\prime}} \leq C|v|^{1 / 2}\|v\|^{1 / 2}|\eta|^{1 / 2}\|\eta\|^{1 / 2} \\
& \left\|W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla v\right\|_{V^{\prime}}+\left\|v \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{1}\right\|_{V^{\prime}} \leq C\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|^{4}|v|^{1 / 2}\|v\|^{1 / 2} \\
& \left\|v \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{2}\right\|_{V_{1}^{\prime}} \leq C\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|^{4}|v|^{1 / 2}\|v\|^{1 / 2} \\
& \left\|W_{A}^{2} \cdot \nabla \eta\right\|_{V_{1}^{\prime}} \leq C\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|^{4}|\eta|^{1 / 2}\|\eta\|^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.2. Let $\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right) \in H \times H_{1}$. Then there is a unique solution $(v, \eta) \in L_{W}^{2}\left(0, T ; V \times V_{1}\right)$ to (3.1) such that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. $(v, \eta):[0, T] \rightarrow V^{\prime} \times V_{1}^{\prime}$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$ and
(i) $v^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right), \eta^{\prime} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}^{\prime}\right), \mathbb{P}$-a.s.
(ii) $v \in C([0, T], H)$ and $\eta \in C\left([0, T], H_{1}\right), \mathbb{P}$-a.s.

Proof. We consider the approximating equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+\nu A v_{\varepsilon}+\Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)+v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{1}+W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla v_{\varepsilon}-\sigma \theta_{\varepsilon}-\sigma W_{A}^{2}=-B\left(W_{A}^{1}\right), \\
& \eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+\chi A_{1} \eta_{\varepsilon}+\Phi_{2}^{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}, \eta_{\varepsilon}\right)+v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{2}+W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\varepsilon}=-W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{2}  \tag{3.2}\\
& v(0)=u_{0}, \quad \eta(0)=\theta_{0},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)= \begin{cases}B(v) & \text { if }\|v\| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \\ \frac{B(v)}{\varepsilon^{2}\|v\|^{2}} & \text { if }\|v\|>\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\Phi_{2}^{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right)= \begin{cases}v \cdot \nabla \eta & \text { if }\|v\|+\|\eta\| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \\ \frac{v \cdot \nabla \eta}{\varepsilon^{2}(\|v\|+\|\eta\|)^{2}} & \text { if }\|v\|+\|\eta\|>\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that $u_{\varepsilon}=v_{\varepsilon}+W_{A}^{1}$ and $\theta_{\varepsilon}=\eta_{\varepsilon}+W_{A}^{2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& d u_{\varepsilon}+\left(\nu A u_{\varepsilon}+\Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}-\sigma \theta_{\varepsilon}\right) d t=\sqrt{Q_{1}} d W_{1}(t) \\
& d \theta_{\varepsilon}+\left(\chi A_{1} \theta_{\varepsilon}+\Phi_{2}^{\varepsilon}\right) d t=\sqrt{Q_{2}} d W_{2}(t)  \tag{3.3}\\
& u(0)=u_{0}, \quad \theta(0)=\theta_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying the first and second equations of (3.2) by $v_{\varepsilon}$ and $\theta_{\varepsilon}$ respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\left|\eta_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)+\nu\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+\chi\left\|\eta_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+b\left(v_{\varepsilon}, W_{A}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)+b\left(v_{\varepsilon}, W_{A}^{2}, \eta_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& =\left(\sigma \eta_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)+\left(\sigma W_{A}^{2}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)-b\left(W_{A}^{1}, W_{A}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)-b\left(W_{A}^{1}, W_{A}^{2}, \eta_{\varepsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall Young's inequality: $a b \leq \frac{a^{p}}{p}+\frac{b^{q}}{q}$ for $p$ and $q$ conjugate. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b\left(v_{\varepsilon}, W_{A}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq C\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{1 / 2}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{3 / 2}\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4} \leq \frac{\nu}{3}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+C\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}^{4} \\
& b\left(v_{\varepsilon}, W_{A}^{2}, \eta_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq\left. C\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{1 / 2}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{1 / 2}\left|\left\|\eta_{\varepsilon}\right\|\right| W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4} \\
& \quad \leq C\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}^{2}+\frac{\chi}{2}\left\|\eta_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\nu}{3}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+C\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}^{4}+\frac{\chi}{2}\left\|\eta_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} \\
& b\left(W_{A}^{1}, W_{A}^{1}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq C\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}^{2}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq \frac{\nu}{3}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+C\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}^{4} \\
& b\left(W_{A}^{1}, W_{A}^{2}, \eta_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq C\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}\left\|\eta_{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq \frac{\chi}{2}\left\|\eta_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+C\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}^{2}\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}^{2} \\
& \left(\sigma \eta_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq C\left(\left|\eta_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\left|\eta_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)+\nu\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}+\chi\left\|\eta_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2} \leq C\left(\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}^{4}+\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}^{4}+C\right)\left(\left|\eta_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+1\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.4) with respect to $t \in[0, T]$ and using Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|v_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|^{2}+\left|\eta_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|^{2}+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|v_{\varepsilon}(s)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\eta_{\varepsilon}(s)\right\|^{2}\right) d s \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\theta_{0}\right|^{2}\right) \exp \left(C \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}^{4}+\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}^{4}+C\right) d s\right)+C, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $\varepsilon$ and $\omega$.
Now we fix $\omega \in \Omega$ and select a sub-sequence $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{\varepsilon}(t) \rightarrow v(t) \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}(0, T ; V), \text { weak star in } L^{\infty}(0, T ; H), \\
& \eta_{\varepsilon}(t) \rightarrow \eta(t) \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right), \text { weak star in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \\
& A v_{\varepsilon}(t) \rightarrow A v(t) \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right), \\
& A \eta_{\varepsilon}(t) \rightarrow A \eta(t) \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}(t)\right) \rightarrow \varphi_{1}(t) \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) \\
& \Phi_{2}^{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}(t), \eta_{\varepsilon}(t)\right) \rightarrow \varphi_{2}(t) \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& v_{\varepsilon}(t) \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{1} \rightarrow v(t) \cdot W_{A}^{1} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) \\
& W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla v_{\varepsilon}(t) \rightarrow W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla v(t) \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) \\
& \sigma \eta_{\varepsilon}(t) \rightarrow \sigma \eta(t) \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& v_{\varepsilon}(t) \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{2} \rightarrow v(t) \cdot W_{A}^{2} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\varepsilon}(t) \rightarrow W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla \eta(t) \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& v^{\prime}+\nu A v+\varphi_{1}+v \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{1}+W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla v=-B\left(W_{A}^{1}\right)+\sigma \theta+\sigma W_{A}^{2}, \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T], \\
& \eta^{\prime}+\chi A_{1} \eta+\varphi_{2}+v \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{2}+W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla \eta=-W_{A}^{1} \cdot \nabla W_{A}^{2}, \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T]  \tag{3.6}\\
& v(0)=u_{0}, \quad \eta(0)=\theta_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, since $v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ and $\eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ are bounded in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$ and $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ respectively, we also have that for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow v \text { strongly in } L^{2}(0, T ; H) \\
& \eta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \eta \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\mathcal{O})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\varphi_{1}(t), \psi(t)\right) d t \rightarrow \int_{0}^{T} b(v, v, \psi) d t, \quad \forall \psi \in C([0, T], D(A)) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the reason is as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\varphi_{1}(t), \psi(t)\right) d t \\
& =\int_{t \in[0, T]:\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\| \leq 1 / \varepsilon} b\left(v_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}, \psi\right) d t+\int_{t \in[0, T]:\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|>1 / \varepsilon} \frac{b\left(v_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}, \psi\right)}{\varepsilon^{2}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\|} d t \\
& =I_{\varepsilon}^{1}+I_{\varepsilon}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have shown that

$$
b\left(v_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}, \psi\right) \rightarrow b(v, v, \psi), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Since

$$
\left|b\left(v_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}, \psi\right)\right| \leq C\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|,
$$

we infer by the dominated convergence theorem that

$$
I_{\varepsilon}^{1} \rightarrow \int_{0}^{T} b(v, v, \psi) d t \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
$$

On the other hand, we have shown that

$$
\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\{\left\|v_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|>1 / \varepsilon\right\} \leq C \varepsilon^{2} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|I_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right| \leq C \varepsilon^{2} \frac{\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|\|\psi\|}{\varepsilon^{2}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}} \leq C \frac{1}{\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|} \leq C \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Thus, it follows that $\varphi_{1}(t)=B(v(t))$, a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. Similarly, we have $\varphi_{2}(t)=v \cdot \nabla \eta$.
This means that the pair $(v, \eta)$ is a solution to (3.1) for every fixed $\omega \in \Omega$. On the other hand, it is readily seen that for each $\omega \in \Omega$, (3.6) with $\varphi_{1}=B(v)$ and $\varphi_{2}=v \cdot \nabla \eta$ has at most one solution $(v, \eta)$ with the above properties. This implies that, for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
v_{\varepsilon}(t) \rightarrow v(t), \quad \eta_{\varepsilon}(t) \rightarrow \eta(t),
$$

weakly in $L^{2}(0, T ; V)$ and $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right)$, respectively, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. This indicates that $v$ and $\eta$ (and $v^{\prime}$ and $\left.\eta^{\prime}\right)$ are adapted to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ and therefore $(v, \eta) \in L_{W}^{2}\left(0, T ; V \times V_{1}\right)$ and $\left(v^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right) \in L_{W}^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime} \times V_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. $\square$ Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 3.1] For the first equation of (3.3), we have by Ito's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left|u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|+\nu \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(s)\right\|^{2} d s=\frac{1}{2}\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} t \operatorname{Tr} Q_{1}+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\sigma \theta_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}\right) d s . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proceeding similarly as in the second equation in (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left|\theta_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|+\chi \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\theta_{\varepsilon}(s)\right\|^{2} d s=\frac{1}{2}\left|\theta_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} t \operatorname{Tr} Q_{2} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.9) we get, for $t \in[0, T]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\left|\theta_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\nu\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(s)\right\|^{2}+\chi\left\|\theta_{\varepsilon}(s)\right\|^{2}\right) d s  \tag{3.10}\\
& \quad=\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\theta_{0}\right|^{2}+t \operatorname{Tr}\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right)+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\sigma \theta_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}\right) d s
\end{align*}
$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we deduce from (3.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+\left|\theta_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right)+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u_{\varepsilon}(s)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta_{\varepsilon}(s)\right\|^{2}\right) d s \leq C . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that, for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u=v+W_{A}^{1} \quad \text { weakly in } L_{W}^{2}(0, T ; V) \\
& \theta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \theta=\eta+W_{A}^{2} \quad \text { weakly in } L_{W}^{2}\left(0, T ; V_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(u, \theta)$ is a solution to (1.1).
As for uniqueness, if $(\tilde{u}(t), \tilde{\theta}(t))$ is a solution with initial data $\left(u_{1}, \theta_{1}\right)$ we have by (2.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} d\left(|u(t)-\tilde{u}(t)|^{2}+|\theta(t)-\tilde{\theta}(t)|^{2}\right)+\nu\|u(t)-\tilde{u}(t)\|^{2}+\chi\|\theta(t)-\tilde{\theta}(t)\|^{2} \\
& \leq|b(u-\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}, u-\tilde{u})|+|((u-\tilde{u}) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\theta}, \theta-\tilde{\theta})|+|(\sigma(\theta-\tilde{\theta}), u-\tilde{u})| \\
& \leq C|u-\tilde{u}|\|u-\tilde{u}\|\|\tilde{u}\|+C|u-\tilde{u}|^{1 / 2}\|u-\tilde{u}\|^{1 / 2}|\tilde{\theta}|^{1 / 2}\|\tilde{\theta}\|^{1 / 2}\|\theta-\tilde{\theta}\|+C|\theta-\tilde{\theta} \| u-\tilde{u}| \\
& \leq C|u-\tilde{u}|^{2}\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}+\frac{\nu}{4}\|u-\tilde{u}\|^{2}+C|u-\tilde{u}|^{2}|\tilde{\theta}|^{2}\|\tilde{\theta}\|^{2}+\frac{\nu}{4}\|u-\tilde{u}\|^{2}+\frac{\chi}{2}\|\theta-\tilde{\theta}\|^{2}+C\left(|\theta-\tilde{\theta}|^{2}+|u-\tilde{u}|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(|\theta-\tilde{\theta}|^{2}+|u-\tilde{u}|^{2}\right)\left(1+\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}+|\tilde{\theta}|^{2}\|\tilde{\theta}\|^{2}\right)+\frac{\nu}{2}\|u-\tilde{u}\|^{2}+\frac{\chi}{2}\|\theta-\tilde{\theta}\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Gronwall's inequality there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |u(t)-\tilde{u}(t)|^{2}+|\theta(t)-\tilde{\theta}(t)|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\left|u_{0}-u_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\theta_{0}-\theta_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \times \exp \left(C \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\|\tilde{u}\|^{2}+|\tilde{\theta}|^{2}\|\tilde{\theta}\|^{2}\right) d s\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the uniqueness of $(u, \theta)$ as well as the continuity of $\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right) \rightarrow(u(t), \theta(t))$.

## 4. Ergodicity.

4.1. Existence of invariant measure. Let $\left(u\left(t, u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right), \theta\left(t, u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)\right) \in L_{W}^{2}\left(0, T ; V \times V_{1}\right)$ be the solution of (1.1) with initial data $\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)$. Set

$$
P_{t} \phi\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[\phi\left(u\left(t, u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right), \theta\left(t, u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)\right)\right], \quad \forall\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right) \in H \times H_{1}, \phi \in C_{b}\left(H \times H_{1}\right)
$$

Recall that a Borel probability measure $\mu$ in $H \times H_{1}$ is invariant (Definition 5.3) for the transition semigroup $P_{t}$ if

$$
\int_{H \times H_{1}} P_{t} \phi d \mu=\int_{H \times H_{1}} \phi d \mu, \quad \forall \phi \in C_{b}\left(H \times H_{1}\right) .
$$

Theorem 4.1. There exists at least one invariant measure $\mu$ for $P_{t}$.
Proof. From (3.10) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left(|u(t)|^{2}+|\theta(t)|^{2}\right)+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u(s)\|^{2}+\|\theta(s)\|^{2}\right) d s \\
& \leq C\left(\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\theta_{0}\right|^{2}+t \operatorname{Tr}\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right)\right), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\pi_{t}\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}, \cdot\right)$ be the law of process $(u(t), \theta(t))$. Then

$$
P_{t} \phi\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \phi\left(u_{1}, \theta_{1}\right) \pi_{t}\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}, d u_{1}, d \theta_{1}\right)
$$

In order to prove the existence of an invariant measure, it is enough to show that the set

$$
\mu_{T}:=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \pi_{t}\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}, \cdot\right) d t, \quad T>1
$$

is tight in $\mathcal{P}\left(H \times H_{1}\right)$ (see the definition 5.4 in the Appendix 5). With fixed $\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}\right) \in H \times H_{1}$, we have that

$$
\frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|\theta\|^{2}\right) d s \leq C\left(\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\theta_{0}\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Tr}(Q)\right)
$$

Let $B_{R}$ denote the ball of radius $R$ in $V \times V_{1}$. Then $\forall R>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{T}\left(B_{R}^{c}\right) & =\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \pi_{t}\left(u_{0}, \theta_{0}, B_{R}^{c}\right) d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{T R^{2}} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|\theta\|^{2}\right) d s \\
& \leq \frac{1}{R^{2}} C\left(\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\theta_{0}\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Tr}(Q)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields that $\left\{\mu_{T}\right\}_{T \geq 1}$ is tight.
4.2. Uniqueness of invariant measure. In this section we prove the uniqueness of the invariant measure $\mu$ using coupling method (see, e.g., $[2,11,7,8]$ ). We follow the approach presented in [2, 11], and Lemmas 4.2-4.4 are the main steps in the proof. With these a priori estimates, the main result, Theorem 4.5 , follows exactly the same framework as in [2]. Therefore, we only prove Lemmas 4.2-4.4 in this section. For a detailed proof of Theorem 4.5, please refer to [2].

Lemma 4.2. The following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu^{*} \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|\theta\|^{2}\right) d s \leq\left|u_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\theta_{0}\right|^{2}+\frac{t}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(Q) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu^{*}=\min \{\nu, \chi\}$.
Proof. This is a direct consequence of (4.1).
Lemma 4.3. Let $\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}>0$. Then there exist $\alpha=\alpha\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right)$ and $T=T\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right)>0$ such that for any $t \in[T, 2 T],\left|u_{0}\right| \leq \rho_{0},\left|\theta_{0}\right| \leq \rho_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(|u| \leq \rho_{1},|\theta| \leq \rho_{1}\right) \geq \alpha \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $v=u-W_{A}^{1}, \eta=\theta-W_{A}^{2}$, where $W_{A}^{1}$ and $W_{A}^{2}$ are mild solutions to (2.3). Multiplying the second equation (3.1) with $\eta$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}|\eta|^{2}+\chi\|\eta\|^{2} & \leq\left|b\left(v, W_{A}^{2}, \eta\right)\right|+\mid b\left(W_{A}^{1}, W_{A}^{2}, \eta\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\|v\| \|\left. W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}+\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}\right)+\frac{\chi}{2}\|\eta\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\frac{d}{d t}|\eta|^{2}+\chi\|\eta\|^{2} \leq C\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}\left(\|v\|+\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}\right)
$$

equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(e^{\delta t}|\eta|^{2}\right) \leq C\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}\left(\|v\|+\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}\right) e^{\delta t} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $W_{A}^{1}$ and $W_{A}^{2}$ are independent Gaussian processes in $L^{4}(\mathcal{O})$, and following the argument in [4] we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}^{2}+\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}^{2}\right) \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall t \in[0,2 T]>0
$$

Integrating and rearranging (4.4) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
|\eta(t)|^{2} & \leq e^{-\delta t}|\eta(0)|^{2}+C e^{-\delta t} \epsilon \int_{0}^{t} e^{\delta s}(\|v\|+\epsilon) d s \\
& \leq e^{-\delta t}|\eta(0)|^{2}+C e^{-\delta t} \epsilon\left(\left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{2 \delta s} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\|v\|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}+\epsilon \int_{0}^{t} e^{\delta s} d s\right) \\
& \leq e^{-\delta t}|\eta(0)|^{2}+C \epsilon \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the a priori result from (3.5). Now multiply equation (3.1) with $v$ and $\eta$ respectively, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|v^{2}\right|+|\eta|^{2}\right)+\nu\|v\|^{2}+\chi\|\eta\|^{2} \\
\leq & C\left(\left(\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}^{4}+\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}^{4}\right)|v|^{2}+\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}^{4}+\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}^{4}\right)+|(\sigma \eta, v)|+\frac{\nu}{4}\|v\|^{2}+\frac{\chi}{2}\|\eta\|^{2} \\
\leq & C\left(\left|W_{A}^{1}\right|_{4}^{4}+\left|W_{A}^{2}\right|_{4}^{4}\right)\left(|v|^{2}+1\right)+\frac{\nu}{4}\|v\|^{2}+C_{\nu}\|\eta\|^{2}+\frac{\nu}{4}\|v\|^{2}+\frac{\chi}{2}\|\eta\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the estimate of (4.5) to the above equation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|v^{2}\right|+|\eta|^{2}\right)+\alpha\left(\|v\|^{2}+\|\eta\|^{2}\right) \leq C\left(|\eta(0)|^{2} e^{-\delta t}+\epsilon\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiply $e^{\alpha t}$ to both sides of (4.6) and integrate from 0 to $t$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v(t)|^{2}+|\eta(t)|^{2} \leq e^{-\alpha t}\left(|v(0)|^{2}+|\eta(0)|^{2}\right)+C|\eta(0)|^{2} e^{-\min (\alpha, \delta) t}+C \epsilon t \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side will be small by choosing $T$ large enough first, and then letting $\epsilon$ small enough.
Lemma 4.4. Let $g \in C_{b}\left(H \times H_{1}\right)$ be such that $\|g\|_{0} \leq 1$. For notational simplicity, denote $(x, y) \in$ $H \times H_{1}$ by the initial values of $u$ and $\theta$. Then for any $t>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\left|P_{t} g(x, y)-P_{t} g\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

for all $(x, y),\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \in H \times H_{1}, x, y, x_{1}, y_{1} \in B_{\delta}(0)$, where $B_{\delta}(0)$ denotes a disk centered at the origin with radius $\delta$.

Proof. Let $Z=(u, \theta)$ be the solution of (1.1) with initial value $(x, y) \in H \times H_{1}$ and by $D Z$ the Gateaux derivative of $Z$. Denote

$$
\xi_{1}=D_{x} u, \quad \xi_{2}=D_{x} \theta, \quad \xi_{3}=D_{y} u, \quad \xi_{4}=D_{y} \theta
$$

where $D_{x}$ and $D_{y}$ are Gateaux derivatives with respect to $x$ and $y$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{1}^{\prime}+\nu A \xi_{1}+B^{\prime}(u) \xi_{1}-\sigma \xi_{2}=0 \\
& \xi_{2}^{\prime}+\chi A_{1} \xi_{2}+F(u, \theta)_{u} \xi_{1}+F(u, \theta)_{\theta} \xi_{2}=0  \tag{4.8}\\
& \xi_{1}(0)=1, \quad \xi_{2}(0)=0
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{3}^{\prime}+\nu A \xi_{3}+B^{\prime}(u) \xi_{3}-\sigma \xi_{4}=0 \\
& \xi_{4}^{\prime}+\chi A_{1} \xi_{4}+F(u, \theta)_{u} \xi_{3}+F(u, \theta)_{\theta} \xi_{4}=0  \tag{4.9}\\
& \xi_{3}(0)=0, \quad \xi_{4}(1)=1
\end{align*}
$$

where $(F(u, \theta), w)=b(u, \theta, w)$ for any $w \in V$. By multiplying (4.8) by $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{2}\right)+\nu\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|^{2}+\chi\left\|\xi_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
= & -b\left(\xi_{1}, u, \xi_{1}\right)+\left(\sigma \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}\right)-b\left(\xi_{1}, \theta, \xi_{2}\right) \\
\leq & C\left|\xi_{1}\right|\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|\|u\|+C\left(\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}\right)+C\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\xi_{2}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\theta\| \\
\leq & \epsilon\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|^{2}+C\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}\|u\|^{2}+C\left(\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}\right)+ \\
& \frac{\epsilon}{2}\left(\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\xi_{2}\right\|^{2}\right)+C\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{2}\right)\|\theta\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For properly chosen $\epsilon$, there exists $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{2}\right)+\gamma\left(\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\xi_{2}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
\leq & C\left(\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{2}\right)\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|\theta\|^{2}+C\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and by Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{2}\right|^{2}+\gamma \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\xi_{2}\right\|^{2}\right) d s \leq C \exp \left(C \int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|\theta\|^{2}+C\right) d s\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{3}\right|^{2}+\left|\xi_{4}\right|^{2}+\gamma \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|\xi_{3}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\xi_{4}\right\|^{2}\right) d s \leq C \exp \left(C \int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|\theta\|^{2}+C\right) d s\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next step is to estimate

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[g(u(t, x, y), \theta(t, x, y))-g\left(u\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \theta\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right)\right]
$$

by following the argument as in [11]. To do that, we introduce a cut-off function

$$
\Phi_{K}(r)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } r \in[0, K] \\ 0 & \text { if } r \in[2 K, \infty] \\ \in[0,1] & \text { if } r \in[K, 2 K]\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[g(u(t, x, y), \theta(t, x, y))-g\left(u\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \theta\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right)\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}\left[g(u(t, x, y), \theta(t, x, y)) \times \Phi_{K}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u(s, x, y)\|^{2}+\|\theta(s, x, y)\|^{2}\right) d s\right)\right] \\
& -\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(u\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \theta\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right) \times \Phi_{K}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u\left(s, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta\left(s, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) d s\right)\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}\left[g(u(t, x, y), \theta(t, x, y)) \times\left(1-\Phi_{K}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u(s, x, y)\|^{2}+\|\theta(s, x, y)\|^{2}\right) d s\right)\right)\right] \\
& -\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(u\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \theta\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right) \times\left(1-\Phi_{K}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u\left(s, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta\left(s, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) d s\right)\right)\right] \\
= & H_{1}(t)+H_{2}(t)+H_{3}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result of Lemma 4.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|H_{2}(t)\right| & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(\|u(s, x, y)\|^{2}+\|\theta(s, x, y)\|^{2}\right) d s \geq K\right)\|g\|_{0}  \tag{4.12}\\
& \leq\|g\|_{0}\left(\frac{|x|^{2}+|y|^{2}}{\nu^{*} K}+\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right) t}{2 K}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{3}(t)\right| \leq\|g\|_{0}\left(\frac{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|y_{1}\right|^{2}}{\nu^{*} K}+\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right) t}{2 K}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate $H_{1}(t)$, we write it as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{d \lambda} \mathbb{E} & {\left[g\left(u\left(t, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right), \theta\left(t, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right)\right.} \\
& \left.\times \Phi_{K}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) d s\right)\right] d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
x_{\lambda}=\lambda x+(1-\lambda) x_{1}, \quad y_{\lambda}=\lambda y+(1-\lambda) y_{1}, \quad \lambda \in[0,1] .
$$

Set $h=\left(x-x_{1}, y-y_{1}\right)$, then the Bismut-Elworthy formula yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}(t)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}\left[g\left(Z\left(t, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right) \times \Phi_{K}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) d s\right)\right. \\
&\left.\times \int_{0}^{t}\left(Q^{-1 / 2} D Z\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right) h, d W(s)\right)\right] d \lambda \\
&+2 \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{E} {\left[g\left(Z\left(t, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right) \times \Phi_{K}^{\prime}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) d s\right)\right.} \\
&\left.\times \int_{0}^{t}\left(1-\frac{s}{t}\right)\left(A Z\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right), D Z\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right) h\right)\right] d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A: V \times V_{1} \rightarrow V^{\prime} \times V_{1}^{\prime}$ is the canonical isomorphism of $V \times V_{1}$ onto $V^{\prime} \times V_{1}^{\prime}$. Let

$$
\tau_{\lambda}=\inf \left\{t>0: \int_{0}^{t}\left(\left\|u\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\theta\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) d s \geq 2 K\right\}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H_{1}(t)\right| & \leq C\|g\|_{0} \int_{0}^{1} d \lambda\left[\frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{\lambda}}\left|Q^{-1 / 2} D Z\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right) h\right|^{2} d s\right]^{1 / 2}\right. \\
& \left.+2\left\|\Phi_{K}^{\prime}\right\|_{0} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{\lambda}}\left\|\xi^{h}\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right\|_{V \times V_{1}}^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|Z\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi^{h}=D Z \cdot h$. By estimates (4.10) and (4.11), as well as the condition (1.2), we have that

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left|Q^{-1 / 2} D Z\left(s, x_{\lambda}, y_{\lambda}\right) h\right|^{2} d s \leq C|h|^{2}
$$

Finally, by estimates (4.2) and (4.10)-(4.13), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\mathbb{E}\left[g(Z(t, x, y))-g\left(Z\left(t, x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right)\right]\right|  \tag{4.14}\\
& \quad \leq C\|g\|_{0} \delta\left(\frac{\delta}{K}+2 e^{\delta K}\left(1+t^{-1 / 2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, x_{1}, y_{1} \in B_{\delta}(0)$ when $K$ is appropriately chosen and $\delta$ is small enough.
With the a priori estimates of Lemmas 4.2-4.4, the next theorem can be obtained by following exactly the same approach (namely, coupling method) as presented in [2].

Theorem 4.5. There is a unique invariant measure $\mu$ for semigroup $P_{t}$.
5. Appendix. Definition 5.1. Suppose $H$ is a real separable Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and norm $|\cdot|$. A linear continuous operator $Q$ is of trace class if it satisfies,

- positivity: $(Q x, x) \geq 0, x \in H$,
- symmetry: $(Q x, y)=(x, Q y), x, y \in H$,
- bounded trace: $\operatorname{Tr} Q:=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(Q e_{k}, e_{k}\right)<+\infty$ for one (and consequently for all) complete orthonormal system $\left(e_{k}\right)$ in $H$.
Definition 5.2. A Markov semigroup $P_{t}$ on $B_{b}(H)$ is a mapping

$$
[0,+\infty) \rightarrow L\left(B_{b}(H)\right), \quad t \mapsto P_{t}
$$

such that
(i) $P_{0}=1, P_{t+s}=P_{t} P_{s}$ for all $t, s \geq 0$.
(ii) For any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in H$ there exists a probability measure $\pi_{t}(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ such that

$$
P_{t} \varphi(x)=\int_{H} \varphi(y) \pi_{t}(x, d y) \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in B_{b}(H)
$$

(iii) For any $\varphi \in C_{b}(H)$ (resp. $B_{b}(H)$ ) and $x \in H$, the mapping $t \mapsto P_{t} \varphi(x)$ is continuous (resp. Borel).
Definition 5.3. Assume $P_{t}$ represents a Markov semigroup 5.2 on a Hilbert space $H$. A probability measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ is said to be invariant for $P_{t}$ if

$$
\int_{H} P_{t} \varphi d \mu=\int_{H} \varphi d \mu, \quad \text { for all } \varphi \in B_{b}(H) \text { and } t \geq 0
$$

where $B_{b}(H)$ is the Banach space of all real-valued Borel bounded mappings defined on $H$ with the norm

$$
\|\varphi\|_{0}=\sup _{x \in H}|\varphi(x)| .
$$

Definition 5.4. A subset $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{P}(H)$ is said to be tight if there exists an increasing sequence $\left(K_{n}\right)$ of compact sets of $H$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(K_{n}\right)=1 \quad \text { uniformly on } \Lambda
$$

or, equivalently, if for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a compact set $K_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\mu\left(K_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq 1-\varepsilon, \quad \mu \in \Lambda
$$
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